

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,328	-	12/17/2003	Markus Hartmann	DT-6720	7915	
30377	7590	05/24/2006		EXAMINER		
DAVID T	•		NGUYEN, PHONG H			
ABELMAI 666 THIRI		NE & SCHWAB JE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		10017-5621		3724		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 				- <i>[</i>		
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/738,328	HARTMANN, MARKUS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phong H. Nguyen	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period veror to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farmerie (5,212,887).

Regarding claim 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7, Farmerie teaches a reciprocating power saw having a housing, a motor-driven reciprocating stroke bar (62) with a tool receptacle arranged at a free end of the stroke bar (62), and a bearing means (82) bearing the stroke bar (62) in a tool-side end zone of the housing axially displaceable and pivotable, wherein the bearing means (82) has a guide part with a bearing passage for receiving the stroke bar (62), and wherein the bearing means (82) is mounted in a receiving passage (see attached Fig. 2) in the housing by an elastic secondary bearing element (92), and wherein the secondary bearing element (92) is a circular ring and has a circular cross-section, and wherein the receiving surface has a bearing groove for receiving the secondary bearing element. See Figs. 2, 5 and 6.

Regarding claim 4, Farmerie teaches a guide part having a counter bearing groove for receiving a secondary bearing element 92. See attached Fig. 2.

Regarding claim 8, see attached Fig. 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farmerie in view of Bednar et al. (US Pub. 2001/0034941 A1), hereinafter Bednar.

Regarding claim 9, Farmerie teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for a seal in the bearing means 82. Bednar teaches a seal 163 for a bearing means for preventing debris entering the saw housing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the bearing means of Farmerie a seal as taught by Bednar to prevent debris entering the housing.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, a groove for receiving the seal 163 is best seen in Fig. 2 in Bednar.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/738,328 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PN: m

May 16, 2006

Timothy V. Eley Primary Examine

